

MidAmerican Energy Company Responds to
 Questions from November 30, 2011 Customer Meeting
 December 5, 2011

1. Regarding the historical Rates, why was the 2010 rate higher than the projected 2012 Rates?

Answer: The 2010 rate was higher because the 2010 Rate was based on only three months worth of MISO 2009 transmission revenues that were included in the revenue credits for the calculation of the 2010 rate.

2. Is the rate shown on the Attachment O calculation only the MidAmerican portion or the total zonal rate?

Answer: The rate shown on the Attachment O calculation is only the MidAmerican portion of the zonal rate.

3. Will the forward looking rate effective January 1, 2012 be implemented subject to refund?

Answer: The MISO will not implement the proposed rate until the filing is accepted by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and made effective.

4. Is the 12 CP load projected or based on historic values?

Answer: The MidAmerican 12 CP load is projected for 2012. The network customer 12 CP loads included in the rate calculation are 2010 historical.

5. Could you provide a list of included loads?

	<u>Entity</u>	<u>12CP Load (kW)</u>
Answer:	MidAmerican	3,703,750
	Breda	823
	Buffalo	1,037
	Carlisle	3,767
	CIPCO (Ankeny REC)	992
	Corn Belt (Auburn)	354
	Coulter	1,299
	Denver	2,262
	Fonda	907
	Geneseo	11,752
	Hudson	2,611
	Indianola	21,718
	Lake View	3,400
	Sergeant Bluff	5,949
	Wall Lake	1,764
	Waverly	<u>23,554</u>
	Total	3,785,939 kW

6. Are direct assignment facility charges included in revenue credits?

Answer: No, direct assignment facility charges are for distribution facilities that are not included in MidAmerican's transmission rate.

7. Are 69 kV facilities included in the 2012 projected rate?

Answer: No, since MidAmerican has neither filed with nor received an order from FERC accepting the reclassification of certain 69 kV facilities as transmission, MidAmerican has elected not to include 69 kV facilities in its projected 2012 transmission rates. If MidAmerican receives an order in 2012 accepting the reclassification, MidAmerican will include those 69 kV facilities in the 2012 true-up calculation.

8. Will you be including the 69 kV facilities based on the entire year in the 2012 true-up calculation?

Answer: MidAmerican does not plan to include the 69 kV facilities for the entire year in the 2012 true-up calculation.

9. Is the approximately \$229 MIL of 69 kV facility investments to be included in MidAmerican's 2013 rates and the 2012 true-up, gross plant or net plant?

Answer: The approximately \$229 MIL of 69 kV facility investments is gross plant investment.